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Crisis management encompasses more than natural disasters. New threats such as energy security, hacking and infrastructure breakdown are emerging. It is time to rethink the role of crisis management in the national policy agenda and bring it in from the shadows and into the light.

Crisis management is a unique field that has grown out of lived experiences with natural hazard events like fires, floods and cyclones. When I moved from public policy research into the crisis management field, it prompted me to consider how the two are intertwined. It raised an important question of whether crisis management should be included in mainstream policy agendas. Not only because of the rise of disasters but because of the lessons it provides on effective collaboration.

Public policy is a broad discipline grounded on the dichotomy between policy development and service delivery, with an increasing emphasis on collaboration. Many debates that occur in public policy are similar to those in crisis management. These include whether top-down or network approaches are better, knowing your readiness to collaborate, the effects of leadership and the balance between systems, institutions and relationships.
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There is a strategic benefit in looking at organisational readiness for collaboration. This can identify barriers to overcome. A heat map of the organisation’s existing state can be developed to inform future strategy. We should consider the disjuncture between willingness and implementation capacity.

- Effectively balancing structure and agency.
A recurring theme is whether it is structures or individuals that drive positive outcomes. Where appropriate structures don’t exist, cut-through can occur based on the strength of established relationships. Conversely, relationships can be fragile and may change. Institutionalising and capitalising on positive relationships supports ongoing collaboration.

- What does success look like?
The measure of success is subjective based on the perspective of the individuals involved. In a major event, the loss of 5–10 properties may seem like a success if hundreds were saved. But to the community, it will feel like a failure given their loss. The success or failure of a policy is contingent on stakeholder worldviews.

- What happens when things go wrong?
There are common lessons that arise when things go wrong. A cover-up of the issue is never acceptable. Equally, internal blame and an unwillingness to own up to the problem is troublesome. In the aftermath of a crisis, taking responsibility, letting the public know you are being responsible, showing that the crisis is being taken seriously and having plans to remediate problems is best.

We are in an era where climate change will bring prolonged and extreme events. We are experiencing new threats to information technology and essential services that will affect entire populations. It is important to understand that the management of crises yields many lessons that can be used to manage other difficult and intractable policy problems. We should leverage the opportunity that exists now rather than later.

Dr George Carayannopoulos is at the University of Sydney. His background is in policy development, analysis and evaluation.