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Introduction

Over recent years there has been a strong public perception of an increase in the frequency, intensity and impact of disasters worldwide and this has attracted much attention and concern from government leaders, academics, managers, communities, and relevant stakeholders (Bradt, Abraham & Franks 2003, Chen & Helminiak 2013, Subbarao et al. 2008). This increase in attention is compounded by matters which have heightened community risk, including the effects of climate change, population growth, the interconnectivity and complexity of modern societies, urbanisation and its impact on land use planning, and an increase in the proportion of vulnerable members of society (FitzGerald et al. 2010, Ingrassia et al. 2014, Johnson et al. 2013).

There has been increased interest in training new staff as well as developing the existing capability of those charged with leading and managing communities before, during and after the disastrous events. Additionally, the unpredictability of the future challenges, emanating from climate and global environmental change, non-traditional security threats, and others, require people who have the expertise, competency and ability to deal with the uncertainty. All of these factors led to an increased interest in developing further expertise through higher education and training.

In recent years, the need for standardisation of curricula and training in the disaster management sector has become evident among experts (Alexander 2010).
standards will facilitate international cooperation and predictability and generic expectation of graduates. Such curriculum which will enable an employer a sense of strongly contributes to the establishment of a core standards for emergency and disaster tertiary programs reflect the diverse interests and "specific expertise" and outcome. In the absence of standards, the programs offer diverse curricula without standards for content or programs, at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels, at present, however, the growing number of university Burkle et al. 2013, Kapucu 2011, Hemstock 2016). A curricula informed by industry needs and designed with a generic benchmark in mind is essential for management. A curricula informed by industry needs and leading to more effective emergency and disaster management, and public health (Bradt, Abraham & Franks 2003). The final proposed framework for Disaster Health based on the consensus of all involved was significantly extended and included: primary disciplines, support disciplines, community response, resilience, and com and socio-political context. It was believed that this framework could then be used to underpin the development of education programs in the field and would concentrate on the "Core of Disaster Health" for undergraduates, the "Breadth of Disaster Health" for practicing professionals wishing to expand their practice, and "Disaster Health Specialists" for academics, professionals, or policy leaders in this field (Archer & Seynaeve 2007).

This development was seen as a starting point as the input from the emergency health community would help guide the development of such standards. In the same way, we anticipate the standards presented through the GEDMS project will stimulate debate and form the basis for further discussion and refinement.

Despite the body of knowledge and work undertaken to date, there is a recognised need for an evidence-based curriculum design to inform tertiary emergency and disaster management programs. Tertiary education plays a key role in developing capabilities within the workforce, leading to more effective emergency and disaster management. A curricula informed by industry needs and designed with a generic benchmark in mind is essential for effective tertiary education (Britton 2004, Burkle 2012, Burkle et al. 2013, Kapucu 2011, Hemstock 2016).

At present, however, the growing number of university programs, at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels, offer diverse curricula without standards for content or outcome. In the absence of standards, the programs reflect the diverse interests and "specific expertise" and focus of their designers. Therefore, developing generic standards for emergency and disaster tertiary programs strongly contributes to the establishment of a core curriculum which will enable an employer a sense of predictability and generic expectation of graduates. Such standards will facilitate international cooperation and exchange amongst emergency and disaster professionals, contributing to the future recognition of a distinct profession (Britton & Lindsay 2005). ... Consequently, this project aimed to develop generic standards for higher education programs in emergency and disaster management in Australia at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels and a conceptual framework within which those standards would be positioned. This framework and standards may deliver a tool for integrating higher education curriculum development with government and community priorities. While the focus is necessarily on the Australian context, it is recognised that university programs in Australia attract international students as well as Australian students willing to make career with international organisations including United Nations, and therefore the methods used to develop the standards drew on and were inclusive of international literature. The development of generic emergency and disaster management standards for tertiary curricular is of specific value to Australia as it provides cohesion between the various levels of national and regional leadership. Moreover the generic nature of the standards also makes these suitable for contextualising and adapting in other parts of the world. It should be noted that a number of similar projects have been conducted in other countries such as the UK, USA, New Zealand, and the Pacific. It is hoped that close cooperation and exchange of ideas between these projects will benefit the global Emergency Management community and eliminate the risk of producing conflicting standards of practice.

Methods of development

The Generic Emergency and Disaster Management Standards for higher education were developed through a mixed qualitative research approach in which information was drawn from a variety of sources. The details are available from the authors. The sources include:

- A detailed analysis of current emergency and disaster related university programs throughout Australia and New Zealand, with subsequent thematic analysis and consolidation.
- A comprehensive, international literature review to identify recommendations for course content. A list of articles is presented as an appendix to this article.
- Five focus groups of 34 interdisciplinary experts from various government organisations (e.g. Attorney General’s Department, Queensland Public Safety Business Agency, NSW Department of Industry), academic institutions across Australia, New Zealand, USA and the UK, and other agencies such as Red Cross were included to inform the analysis and guide consolidation.
- Two rounds of feedback involving those who participated in the focus groups, to guide the analysis and shape the proposed standards.
Broad based consultation with industry to test the validity, utility and appropriateness of the proposed standards.

A one-day final seminar with industry representatives and relevant stakeholders to validate the findings of the research and to ensure the appropriateness of their utility and application.

This approach ensured that the GEDMS was drawn from a comprehensive set of diverse data and reflected a sophisticated and holistic approach to the data analysis.

Background and context of the GEDMS

The GEDMS have been developed to specify the scope and content of higher educational programs. However such standards do not exist in isolation but rather as part of a broad framework supported by conceptual understanding of their role and of the principles that underpin them. This GEDMS Framework provides a more comprehensive package to inform future policy and practice.

The GEDMS that have resulted from this project described the core body of knowledge and skills pertaining to the generic emergency manager and the intellectual understanding required to translate their knowledge into action. The tertiary focus of GEDMS complements the competency based approach of vocational training and thus contributes to the formation of an overarching education framework for emergency and disaster management education in Australia. The GEDMS will be widely accessible by any agency or education provider, and is designed to identify a core body of knowledge that can be contextualised by those delivering or seeking to deliver more specific emergency and disaster management courses in speciality areas.

The GEDMS defines the broad scope of intellectual considerations of the domain of emergency and disaster management and aims to identify how higher education institutions may use them to develop programs that provide a relatively consistent and sound intellectual basis for the expertise required.

The definition of what constitutes a ‘disaster is contested (Cornea & Ryhs 2013). The GEDMS do not focus on what would be considered the ‘business as usual’ expertise required for the management of routine emergencies. Rather the GEDMS focus on the more significant events that challenge communities and require special arrangements to be put in place. The GEDMS focus on the way in which society aims to reduce the impact of major disruptions to health and normal functioning through enhancing coping and adaptive capacities and building resilience. The intellectual scope of the GEDMS informed curricular will refer not only to sudden emergencies or defined events but to major disruptions to societies, which are often prolonged.

The GEDMS curricular focuses beyond disaster response to encompass the strategies required to manage disasters and their effects throughout the continuum of the disaster cycle. Regardless of the background of those involved in emergency and disaster management, there are core concepts, principles and practices that, while complementing the diverse expertise, also define the field.

The GEDMS recognise that disaster management is a very broad and contestable domain in which many areas of expertise intersect. It is also recognised that there are many new perspectives being tested by those at the cutting edge of research. These standards respect the value of such activities but do not seek to use these standards to define, categorise or resolve emerging areas of thought. We concentrate on consolidating what is currently known and accepted, while recognising that university programs will always be focussed on developing the intellectual capacity of those people who will lead emerging thought. They also focus on developing the intellectual capacities of those who will make sense of the unpredictable challenges, dealing with the ‘unknown unknowns’.

The philosophical basis

These GEDMS should be read and applied with the following philosophical assumptions:

1. In general, all disaster management is local and community based. Although some disasters may cross international borders and affect multiple countries, it is communities and local government institutions and organisations that confront the impact of disasters and have the authority and responsibility to lead preparedness and recovery. However, when a disaster is beyond the resourcing scope of the local agencies in Australia, a ‘disaster’ is declared and thus affected population become eligible for state/national assistance and additional ‘external’ resources are called upon. In recent decade, communities became highly networked through spread of good quality Internet, smartphones and social media. This trend is likely to further intensify in near future, and thus, communities will be witnessing highly complex virtual and real networks. Disasters are increasingly being considered a social phenomenon with strong spatial and temporal dimension attached to all events, large or small (Collins, Jones & Manyena 2015). The role of professional expertise is to comprehend the socio-political-economic-cultural criticalities, the nature and dynamics of communities in order to identify ways in which that expertise may be brought to bear to support communities and local governments and to facilitate their empowerment.

2. The GEDMS recognise that there is a wide diversity of roles and expertise involved in emergency and disaster management across individuals, organisations and communities. The focus of the GEDMS is on the shared understanding required to work cooperatively.

3. The GEDMS are focussed for the Australian tertiary education sector and thus are based on the philosophies that underpin disaster management in
Australia and are framed by the Australian Qualification Framework (AQF). However, they recognise that the ultimate end users (graduates) are highly mobile and require competencies which will allow them to operate across various communities and cultures across the globe. The GEDMS also recognise that Australia is an active player in the complex international environment that constitutes emergency and disaster management.

4. The GEDMS focus on core knowledge which should be shared by the variety of participants in emergency and disaster management. The GEDMS seek to define broadly the scope of core knowledge, skills and their application to the task of achieving cohesion amongst the variety of participants in the continuum of emergency and disaster management. The GEDMS encompass disaster management and disaster risk reduction, including building resilience, and not merely on the roles and responsibilities of emergency and disaster managers.

5. The GEDMS recognise that often what reduces the impact of disasters has not traditionally been considered as emergency or disaster management. The GEDMS take a comprehensive view that also recognises the mitigation impact of strategies such as land use planning, public health protections and building construction standards. The GEDMS focus on the knowledge skills and application that recognises this diversity and the competencies required to coordinate these activities and policy environments into broader emergency and disaster management strategy.

6. The GEDMS will require continual review and updating, not only to address any inadequacies that emerge, and to test new approaches, but also to accommodate changes in the principles and practices of emergency and disaster management that need validating.

The GEDMS reflect that the scope of core competencies vary, dependent on the role of the individual. The GEDMS assume that all people should understand a small component of core knowledge such as that there are risks from disasters and there are mechanisms in place to deal with them. On the other hand, those accountable for leading policy development will need an extensive understanding of the underpinning concepts, principles and practices.

This is demonstrated in Figure 1. The GEDMS also recognise that in addition to these core concepts there are:

a. Task specific knowledge which relates to the particular functions of various stakeholders.

b. Role specific knowledge which relates to the roles and responsibilities of individuals and/or organisations.

c. Context specific knowledge which relates to particular physical and socio-cultural environments.

d. Specialty knowledge for key elements of the emergency and disaster management continuum or particular expertise e.g. media and communications.

The GEDMS are not intended to address these later domains as their diversity means that they cannot normally be provided by centralised (multidisciplinary) education, but rather by operational/specialised agencies or through special disciplinary programs.

The structure of the Generic Emergency and Disaster Management Standards

The GEDMS have been organised around the main domains: knowledge and skills, based on the consensus from the literature review and focus group consultations. This categorisation also remains consistent with the AQF. The required achievements within these domains may be mapped against the AQF.

The three main themes that were identified within the knowledge domain are:

1) Governance and policy frameworks;
2) Theoretical and conceptual basis for practice; and
3) Contemporary disaster management.

The three main themes that emerged within the skills domain were:

1) Leadership;
2) Communication; and
3) Collaboration.

The two main themes that emerged from the application domain were:

1) Professional Practice; and
2) Critical thinking.

The first two focus of the ‘what’ and ‘how’ that providers require in this field of study, graduates also need to apply these skills to the solving of complex problems through the use of domains of professional practice and critical thinking. The relationships between the GEDMS domains are demonstrated in Figure 2. However, it must
be emphasised that any attempt to describe the complex inter-relationships that characterise emergency and disaster management is by its nature a simplification intended for illustration only.

The application of GEDMS to the development of university programs will vary according to the level of the program. All graduates would be expected to have a broad and coherent body of knowledge and be able to review critically, analyse, consolidate and synthesise knowledge and identify and solve problems. However, the extent to which they do so and the complexity of the problems to which these core competencies are applied will vary.

For example, a graduate from a Bachelor Degree (AQF Level 7) program will have a broad and coherent knowledge of emergency and disaster management at the core level as described in the GEDMS whereas a graduate from an AQF Level 8 program (Graduate Certificate, Graduate Diploma) may have a more advanced and/or specialised body of knowledge of emergency and disaster management and the ability to think critically and to generate and evaluate complex ideas.

The detailed content of each domain with all underlying themes of the GEDMS is presented as an appendix to this article.
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Conclusion

The GEDMS project achieved extensive recognition regarding the value of the exercise. All involved recognised the need for a generic standard to inform the tertiary education of emergency and disaster managers. There remains a need for further consultation with the emergency and disaster management community to evaluate the GEDMS and to refine further the main themes. The actual application of the GEDMS will further inform future adjustments. Consequently, an ‘appropriate authority’ should be identified, in order to endorse the proposed standards and facilitate their maintenance and review. This authority should have sufficient power to enable those tasked with designing higher education programs to rely on its credibility and authority and should be identified by the federal agencies responsible for emergency and disaster management in Australia. Moreover, further consideration should be given as to how the GEDMS inter-relate with vocational training programs and the subsequent development of an integrated approach to training and education to facilitate an articulated educational pathway for students.

Additionally, it is recommended, that in the first instance, universities will take a self-regulatory approach to evaluate their own course design against the proposed standards. Finally, the professional connections that resulted from this project will form a consortium who will continue to monitor educational opportunities, collaboration and assist the implementation of future directions.
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Appendix: Generic Emergency and Disaster Management Standards content

1. Knowledge

1.1 Governance and policy frameworks

The strategic framework for emergency and disaster management including the policy, legislative and organisational environment at all levels; local, regional, national and international and across the continuum of emergency management prior to, during and after an event.

### 1.1.1 The theory and principles that underpin disaster policy, for example:

- Ethical principles in disaster management
- Information management and data protection
- Equity of access and allocation of resources
- The reflection of culture, sociology and religion
- The application of political theory to disaster management
- The application of public administrative principles to disaster management

### 1.1.2 Emergency and disaster management policy and legislation within the complex organisational and governance arrangements

- Legislative frameworks, legislation, regulations, standards and guidelines
- International, national, regional and local policy frameworks such as those derived from the contemporary initiatives (e.g. Sendai framework)
- Policy advocacy, development and evaluation, for example processes and strategies of policy development as they apply to disaster management

### 1.1.3 The structures and systems that underpin effective disaster management, for example:

- Consultative, advisory and decision making arrangements at all levels.
- Public administration processes
- Coordination arrangements
- Communication channels

### 1.1.4 The roles and responsibilities of governments, nongovernment organisations and the private sector in disaster management, for example

- The roles and functions of organisations involved in emergency management at local, regional, state and national level in particular:
  - Governance arrangements
  - Structures of partner organisations (broad structures, methods of communication and decision making processes)
  - Means by which they establish internal and external relationships
  - Culture and capabilities

### 1.1.5 The process of decision making both during routine management phases and in crises

- Achieving situational awareness through analysis and evaluation
- Identification of the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders and interested parties
- Identification or development of a common operating picture to facilitate control and coordination
- Identifying communication processes as they contribute to policy development and incident command and control

1.2 Theoretical and conceptual basis for practice

The theories, concepts and principles that underpin the practice of disaster management...
1.2.1 The foundational knowledge: The fundamental sciences applicable to disaster management; sufficient to function effectively in the multidisciplinary disaster management environment, for example atmospheric sciences, economics, engineering, environmental management, geography, geology, public health, communication, planning and other relevant disciplines.

1.2.2 The historical, social, political, economic and environmental context of disasters and the complex social environment in which they occur, for example: The history of disaster and their impact on society, The complex nature of modern societies and multiple perspectives interconnected and interdependent structures of modern and complex culture changing societies, The concepts of resilience, vulnerability and adaptive capacity, Models of community participation and partnerships that build social capital and community resilience, The differing perspectives of disaster and the way in which those different perspectives influence disaster management.

1.2.3 The complex nature of disasters, their classifications and causes and their human, social, environmental and economic impacts and consequences: The complex, compounding and at times cascading nature of disasters, The broad range of natural and anthropogenic disaster hazards, in such areas as geological, hydrological, nuclear, meteorological, biological, fire, transportation, national security and other anthropogenic hazards, climate change and situations requiring humanitarian assistance.

1.2.4 The development of disaster management thinking and concepts on an international, national and local scale and how that informs contemporary practice: The changing conceptualisation of disasters and the impact of those changes on disaster management, The development of the concept of risk in a variety of contexts, The impact of research and analysis on informing future thinking.

1.2.5 The core concepts that underpin modern disaster management, for example: Vocabulary and terminology pertinent to the disaster management context, Theoretical conceptualisations of the disaster management continuum, The various discourses around risk, sustainability, resilience and vulnerability, The diversity of roles and the professionals working within the field of disaster management, The social, cultural, economic and psychological aspects of disaster management.

1.2.6 Hazards and risk and their application at all levels and across all functions of disaster management: Hazards as a source of risk and how they affect organisations and communities, The theory and principles of risk management in the context of disasters, for example approaches and methodologies for risk assessment and treatment, Impact resulting from interruption or disruption of individual functions, processes and applications, The strategies aimed at reducing risk that are inclusive, participatory and broad based, Risk management and its application to/through the continuum of disaster management.

1.3 Contemporary disaster management

The application of general management principles to the particular context of the management of disasters where normal challenges are further complicated by system failures, inadequate information, complex multiagency and whole of community approaches and a challenging political and social environment.

1.3.1 Organisational theory and fundamental principles of management applied to the disaster management context: All aspects of disaster management, The differences between routine management of disasters and crisis management, Inclusive of human resource management, information technology, financial management, project management, planning and performance management and, The process of establishing vision, culture and strategy and maintenance of positive public relations and decision-making systems and structures.
### 1.3.2 Specific management issues that are central to effective disaster management practice:

- Impact of socio-technical systems design and human factors
- Incident command/management systems and their application to the disaster management context and at all levels; national, regional, state, local, and institutional.
- Warning systems and their operations, emergency communications and telecommunications, for example mass media liaison, social media and emergency information
- Emergency operations, for example search and rescue, evacuations, emergency shelter and mass feeding programs
- Modern and emerging technologies to the disaster management environment, for example information technology, emergency response systems, real time decision support, computer simulation systems, satellite information systems, remote sensing imagery and the technological tools such as GIS, mapping, modelling, simulations
- Recognition of the importance of infrastructure, for example systems and assets (physical or virtual), utilities, financial services for the economy and the community.
- Information management, for example sources of reliable data and information, validation of data, the application to judgements, decision making, intelligence, planning, operations, logistics
- The process of monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of recovery programs and resilience strategies
- Identification of the processes and principles required to ensure the continuity of businesses including their staff, systems, structures and consumables.
- Financial management for disasters and the fiscal responsibilities of the private, nongovernmental organisation, and public sectors at the federal, state and local level (for example internal and external sources of revenue, and processes of reimbursements, grant management and disaster assistance funding).

### 1.3.3 Management principles through the continuum of disaster management:

- Prevention and mitigation strategies and the value and impact of strategies to build the resilience of the individual, organisation, community, environmental and physical infrastructure
- The variety of structural and non-structural mitigation strategies, for example growth management, floodplain management and public choice
- Monitor the threat for identified hazards and adjust the level of preventive measures commensurate with the threat
- Building disaster resilient communities and the role of public administration and community planning and development
- Building and maintaining effective communication within organisations and communities
- Comprehensive and integrated planning – development, maintenance, evaluation and review
  - Emergency planning cycle
  - Methods of raising awareness of emergency plans and arrangements
- Principles of managing disaster preparedness
  - The design and use of disaster warning and communication systems and processes and citizen responses to warnings
  - Identifying and protecting vulnerable communities and individuals
  - Identifying the integration of other policy domains, for example city planning into emergency preparedness
- Preparedness evaluation
  - Identifying special-needs populations
- Manage response
  - Understanding and application of incident management systems
  - Principles of managing disaster response
  - Understanding, monitoring and evaluating application of incident management systems
- Establishment and maintenance of coordination centres
- Principles of managing recovery
  - The roles and responsibilities of partner organisations involved in response and recovery at local, regional and national level
  - Working with agencies, and referring to partners and stakeholders
  - Partner organisations: their broad structures, methods of communication and decision making processes, social characteristics, including any particularly vulnerable groups;
  - Impacts on individuals and communities: social, health, economic and environmental
  - Incorporation of recovery considerations in the response to emergencies
2. Skills

2.1 Leadership

The complex environment of disaster management and the leadership challenges they create and the application of leadership concepts to this domain

2.1.1 The concepts of leadership and its application to the continuum and comprehensive environment of disaster management

- The differences between strategic and crisis leadership and between transformational and transactional leadership in the disaster management environment
- The role that leadership plays in achieving strategy
- The role of leadership at all levels visionary, strategic, tactical and operational and throughout the continuum of disaster management
- The elements of leadership, for example agenda setting, influencing others, driving change and empowerment
- New concepts of leadership, for example emergent leadership
- Interaction of organisational and broader community leadership

2.1.2 The complex environment that forms the background to effective leadership

- The differences between, and variable roles of leadership and management
- Compliance with legal, regulatory, ethical and social requirements
- The culture of organisation: agile, adaptive, responsive
- The strategic leadership challenges created by the continuously changing multi-agency, multidisciplinary collaborative environment, for example public agencies (local, state and national Government), military agencies and the private sector
- Diversity issues: examines the extent to which practitioners and organisations serve the needs of diverse groups e.g. vulnerable populations and other segments of the community
- Current and emerging technologies: incorporation of future technology in the practice of emergency management
- The interconnected and interdependent nature of modern society and all its functions
- The evolving analytical, planning, budgetary and financial frameworks: for effective policies and strategies and the impact of personal, techno/rational, economic, legal, organisational, political, cultural and information issues on leadership and decision making
- Complex emergent issues and future challenges (e.g. refugees)

2.1.3 The characteristics (traits) of effective leaders in disaster management and changes in differing circumstances

- Ethical principles, integrity and values in underpinning individual and organisational performance and their application to disaster management
- Encouragement to others to take the lead and ways in which this can be achieved
- Reputation of the emergency management service within your organisation

2.1.4 Effective leadership; for example conflict management, communication, agenda setting, consensus building, fair treatment and impact evaluation

2.2 Communication

The concepts, principles and practice of effective communication through all phases of the continuum of disaster management.
3. Application

3.1 Professional practice

The nature of professionalism and its application to disaster management and the behaviours and approaches that may underpin effective management and leadership throughout all phases of disaster management and at all levels.

3.1.1 The nature and application of professionalism in the emergency management sector, for example:
- Commitment to service
- Commitment to the acquisition and application of sound evidence and current knowledge
- Commitment to personal and professional development for example a commitment and value to long life learning and resilience
- Integrity, ethics, respect for ‘Places and People’ and ‘Doing no harm’
- Career planning and commitment to self-development and care of the individual
- Use of evidence and frameworks for research as the basis for evidence based policy and practice

3.1.2 The importance of education and training in driving professionalism and reform and its application in the disaster management sector:
- The principles and practice of education, exercises and training
- The design and delivery of educational programs that underpin learning processes for example exercise management
- The methods of evaluating education and training effectiveness
- The continuous improvement through appropriate management and learning from the past
- Commitment to self-care
- Building and maintaining relationships

2.3.1 The value of effective relationships to sustain disaster management:
- Effective and sustainable relationships
- Mechanisms required to sustain effective relationships
- Effective relationships through mutual understanding with partners and advanced networking

2.3.2 The value and means of effective teamwork
- The principles and benefits of effective teamwork and working with multi-agencies
- Cooperative arrangements with other organisations both civilian and military relationships
- Horizontal and vertical interoperability of partner organisations
- The factors likely to hinder multi-agency working (for example stereotyping, discrimination)
- Methods of identifying and resolving tensions and issues
- Methods of reviewing the effectiveness of multi-agency working relationships
- Systems integration
- Engaging the private sector and developing effective public/private partnerships for example:
  - Business continuity and resilience
  - Private sector motivations
  - Utilising public education and effective public relations
  - Public vs. private organisational functions
  - Role of private sector in planning
  - Networking between public, private and nongovernmental organisation
  - Importance of public, private and nongovernmental organisations
  - Networking to facilitate collaboration, cooperation and trust

2.3.3 The context of collaboration in complex interconnected modern societies for example the value of:
- Mutual aid across nation, organisations and communities
- Volunteers and their integration into all aspects of disaster management
- Community engagement and its impact on traditional concepts of command and control
3.2 Critical thinking

The process of analysis, critical evaluation and their application to problem solving in the complex environment of disaster management

| 3.2.1 The value and importance of analysis as the basis for critical enquiry and evaluation: | The role of reflection, evaluation and innovation as a basis for critical analysis
|                                                                                       | The reliance on evidence and objectivity
|                                                                                       | The use of constructive but challenging approaches

| 3.2.2 The application of critical and analytical thinking to the disaster management sector: | Maximum use of evidence to inform critical thinking
|                                                                                       | Resilient methods of data collection, analysis and evaluation
|                                                                                       | Communication of the outcome of critical analysis
|                                                                                       | Reflective, adaptive thinking
|                                                                                       | Crisis thinking versus strategic thinking and thinking traps

| 3.2.3 Innovation and its application to the development of future concepts and strategies and the capacity to think laterally and creatively | Design and application of appropriate research techniques
|                                                                                       | Evaluation of evidence
|                                                                                       | Analysis, synthesis and application of the results
|                                                                                       | Communication of the outcomes

| 3.2.4 Undertake critical enquiry |